IMAGE

Attorney's Docket 081468-0290724 Client Reference: P-0241.010-US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re PATENT APPLICATION of:

Confirmation Number: 2502

GERRIT JAN HEERENS ET AL.

Group Art Unit: 1756

Filed: February 28, 2002

Application No.: 10/084,656

Examiner: SALEHA R. MOHAMEDULLA

For: MASK HANDLING METHOD, AND MASK AND DEVICE OR APPARATUS

COMPRISING A GRIPPER THEREFOR, DEVICE MANUFACTURING METHOD AND DEVICE

MANUFACTURED THEREBY

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendments Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT/RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL

Transmitted herewith is an amendment/response for this application.

FEES

The fee for claims and extension of time (37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17) has been calculated as shown below:

	CLAIMS											_
	REMAINING	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY		22 23 23 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25							4 D D VIII	
	AFTER			PRESENT			D.A.EEE			ADDIT.		
	AMENDMENT	PAID FOR		EXTRA		RATE				FEE		
TOTAL	25		25	=		0	х	\$	18.00	=	\$	0.00
INDEP.	7	_	7	=	<u> </u>	0	х	\$	86.00	=	\$	0.00
FIRST PE	RESENTATION O	F MUI	TIPLE DEP	. CL	AIM			\$	290.00	=	\$.	0.00
TOTAL ADDITIONAL CLAIM FEE											\$	0.00
GRAND TOTAL											\$	0.00

FEE PAYMENT

Authorization is hereby made to charge the amount of \$0.00 to Deposit Account No. 033975. Charge any additional fees required by this paper or credit any overpayment in the manner authorized above. A duplicate of this paper is attached.

Date: December 5, 2003

PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP

P.O. Box 10500 McLean, VA 22102 (703) 905-2159 ROBERT C. PEREZ

Reg. No. 39328

Attorney Docket: 081468-0290724 Client Reference: P-0241.010-US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of: HEERENS

Confirmation Number: 2502

ET AL.

Application No.: 10/084,656

Group Art Unit: 1756

Filed: February 28, 2002 Examiner: SALEHA R. MOHAMEDULLA

Title: MASK HANDLING METHOD, AND MASK AND DEVICE OR APPARATUS COMPRISING A GRIPPER THEREFOR, DEVICE MANUFACTURING METHOD AND

DEVICE MANUFACTURED THEREBY

REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendments Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the Restriction Requirement dated November 5, 2003, applicants respectfully traverse the restriction. The restriction fails to set forth a proper basis for restriction. For example, paragraph 2 of the action states that "the product can be used in a materially different process, such as an exposure process." The action fails to link either of group I or group II to either the alleged product or process of use. Further, the action fails to explain how "an exposure process" is materially different from the method recited in claim 1, a mask handling method, such mask handling methods are used, for example, in exposure processes. As another example, the method of group IV, is described as "a process for making a device that can be used in computers." This characterization appears disingenuous in view of the previous description of "an exposure process," as the manufacture of devices for use in computers of course involves exposure processes and the method recited in claim 20 is an exposure process (see lines 2 and 3 of claim 20). Without addressing each combination, applicants point out that mere characterization of the groups, without any reliance or reference to the language of the claims and without any explanation of the reasoning applied, is insufficient basis for a restriction requirement or any other official action.

HEERENS ET AL. -- 10/084,656 Client/Matter: 081468-0290724

In compliance with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.143, applicants hereby elect the invention of Group I, claims 1-5 and 22-25.

Prompt examination and favorable consideration on the merits are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted, PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP

ROBERT C. PEREZ

Reg. No. 39328

Tel. No. (703) 905-2159 Fax No. (703) 905-2500

Date: December 5, 2003 P.O. Box 10500 McLean, VA 22102 (703) 905-2000